

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/789,877	02/27/2004	John W. Safian	18188 USA	9150
27081	7590 08/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OWENS-ILLINOIS, INC.			GEHMAN, BRYON P	
ONE SEAGATE, 25-LDP TOLEDO, OH 43666			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3728	
			D	_

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\omega$					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/789,877	SAFIAN, JOHN W.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Bryon P. Gehman	3728					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1, after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. & 133)					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 .	July 2005.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er						
•	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/04, 7/11/05.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ater ratent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3728

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 9-10 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 9, line 2, "at least one of layer thereof" does not make sense.

In claim 15, line 2, "said layer" lacks antecedent basis for one layer.

In claim 16, line 2, ""said multiple particle taggants" lack antecedent basis.

In claim 18, line 2, "and/or" defines an alternative in structure that is indefinite as to its scope.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1, 5-7, 11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Curatolo (6,165,609). Claims 1-4, 7-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35

Art Unit: 3728

U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by WO 03/095198. Each discloses a label with a layer of adhesive incorporating microparticle taggants therein.

- 5. Claims 1, 5-9, 11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Hunt et al. (6,647,649). Disclosed is a labeled container, the package having microparticle taggants in at least one layer of the container.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12-13 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt et al. ('649) in view of WO 03/095198. Hunt et al. disclose providing a molded container with a plastic layer component incorporating microparticle taggants therein. WO 03/095198 discloses incorporating the microparticle taggants directly into the container laminate. To modify the structure of Hunt et al. employing the microparticle taggants in the packaging laminate would have been obvious in view of WO 03/095198 as a recognized alternative location for the taggant particles.
- 8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt et al. ('649) in view of WO 03/095198. Hunt discloses incorporating taggants with multiple

Art Unit: 3728

color layers within a molded packaging component. WO 03/095198 discloses incorporating taggants in the laminate of a package and in a label thereof. To modify the method of Hunt et al. employing microparticle taggants in the packaging laminate would have been obvious in view of WO 03/095198 as a recognized alternative location for the taggant particles.

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Shown are packages incorporating taggant structure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryon P. Gehman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4555. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Wednesday from 5:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu, can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Buy & Rel

Art Unit: 3728

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bryon P. Gehman Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728 Page 5

**BPG**